Case No. CR-1815-13-B TRN 917 337 9123 A001

THE STATE OF TEXAS \$ IN THE 93RD JUDICIAL V. BENITA E. GONZALEZ, \$ DISTRICT COURT OF DEFENDANT \$ HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS SID: TX 07146605

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION BY COURT
& SENTENCE TO THE HIDALGO COUNTY
ADULT DETENTION CENTER (COUNTY JAIL)

<u>JUDGE PRESIDING:</u> RODOLFO DEL'GADO' ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE: CREGG THOMPSON

ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT: MELINDA DIAZ

OFFENSE CODE: 23990009

OFFENSE: THEFT OF PROPERTY, IN THE

AMOUNT OF LESS THAN \$1,500.00, AS

CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT

<u>DATE OF OFFENSE:</u> NOVEMBER 2, 2012 <u>DEGREE OF OFFENSE:</u> STATE JAIL FELONY

STATUTE FOR OFFENSE: 31.03 (e)(4)(D) PENAL CODE

APPLICABLE PUNISHMENT RANGE: 180 DAYS-2 YEARS IN A STATE

(Including enhancements if any): JAIL/\$10,000 FINE MAX

CHARGING INSTRUMENT: INDICTMENT or INFORMATION

PLEA TO OFFENSE: GUILTY

TERMS OF PLEA AGREEMENT OR

FINDINGS OF THE COURT, TO WIT,

PUNISHMENT IMPOSED PURSUANT 33 DAYS CONFINEMENT

TO SECTION 12.44(a) TEXAS PENAL

CODE:

PLACE OF CONFINEMENT: HIDALGO COUNTY ADULT

DETENTION CENTER (COUNTY JAIL)

FINE: NONE

RESTITUTION: NONE

CREDIT FOR TIME SPENT IN JAIL: 44 DAYS

DISMISS: NONE

CONSIDER: NONE

PLEA TO ENHANCEMENT NONE

PARAGRAPH(S):

FINDING TO ENHANCEMENT: NONE

FINDING ON DEADLY WEAPON: NONE -

COURT COSTS: \$ 27

DATE SENTENCE IMPOSED: JULY 16, 2014

On JULY 16, 2014, the above numbered and entitled cause was regularly reached and called for trial, and the State appeared by CREGG THOMPSON, and the Defendant and the

Judgment of Conviction by Court & Sentence, Case No. CR-1815-13-B

Defendant's attorney, MELINDA DIAZ, were also present. Thereupon both sides announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Defendant's attorney, and the State's attorney agreed in open court and in writing to waive a jury in the trial of this cause and to submit it to the Court. The Court consented to the waiver of a jury. The Defendant further waived the reading of the indictment or information, and, upon being asked by the Court as to how the Defendant pleaded, entered a plea of GUILTY to the offense of THEFT OF PROPERTY, IN THE AMOUNT OF LESS THAN \$1,500.00, AS CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT, STATE JAIL FELONY. Furthermore, as to the enhancement paragraphs, if any, the Defendant entered a plea of NONE.

Thereupon, the Court admonished the Defendant of the range of punishment attached to the offense, that any recommendation of the State is not binding on the Court, that the existence of a plea bargain limits the right of an appeal to only pre-trial matters raised and preserved, and that if the Defendant is not a citizen of the United States of America, a plea of guilty or no contest may result in deportation under federal law; it appeared to the Court that the Defendant was competent to stand trial and was not influenced in making said plea(s) by any consideration of fear or by any persuasion prompting a confession of guilt; and that the Defendant understood the admonitions of the Court and was aware of the consequences of the plea(s); and the Court received the free and voluntary plea(s), which are now entered of record in the minutes of the Court.

The Court then proceeded to hear evidence from the State and the Defendant and, having heard argument of counsel, found there was sufficient evidence to support the Defendant's plea and found the Defendant guilty of the offense of THEFT OF PROPERTY, IN THE AMOUNT OF LESS THAN \$1,500.00, AS CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT, STATE JAIL FELONY, committed on NOVEMBER 2, 2012, and made a finding of NONE on the enhancement paragraph(s), if any. The Court then assessed punishment pursuant to SECTION 12.44(a) of the TEXAS PENAL CODE at 33 DAYS in the HIDALGO COUNTY ADULT DETENTION CENTER (COUNTY JAIL), EDINBURG, TEXAS, and a fine of NONE.

A pre-sentence investigation report WAS NOT DONE according to Article 42.12, Sec. 9, CCP.

And thereupon on JULY 16, 2014, the Court then asked the Defendant whether the Defendant had anything to say why the sentence should not be pronounced upon Defendant, and the Defendant having answered nothing in bar thereof, the Court proceeded to pronounce sentence upon Defendant.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that the Defendant is guilty of the offense of THEFT OF PROPERTY, IN THE AMOUNT OF LESS THAN \$1,500.00, AS CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT, STATE JAIL FELONY, committed on NOVEMBER 2, 2012; that the punishment is fixed at 33 DAYS in the HIDALGO COUNTY ADULT DETENTION CENTER (COUNTY JAIL), EDINBURG, TEXAS, and a Fine of NONE; and that the State of Texas do have and recover of the Defendant all court costs in this prosecution expended, for which execution will issue.

It is further **ORDERED** by the Court that the Defendant be taken by the authorized agent of the State of Texas or by the Sheriff of Hidalgo County, Texas, and be safely conveyed and delivered to the Director of the Hidalgo County Adult Detention Center (County Jail) there to be confined in the manner and for the period aforesaid, and the Defendant is hereby

remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Hidalgo County, Texas, until such time as the Sheriff can obey the directions of this sentence.

Furthermore, the following special findings or orders apply:

The Court finds that **THERE IS** a plea bargain agreement between the State and the Defendant.

The Court, upon the State's motion, **DISMISSED** the following count, case or complaint: **NONE**.

The Court, upon the Defendant's request and the State's consent, **CONSIDERED** as an admitted unadjudicated offense the following count, case or complaint: **NONE**.

The Court finds that the sentence imposed or suspended shall run concurrent unless otherwise specified.

The Court finds that the Defendant shall be credited with 44 DAYS on his sentence for time spent in jail in this cause.

The Court finds the Defendant owes Solution in court costs. The Defendant The Court finds the Defendant owes Solution in court costs. The Defendant of the Jerm of confinement	NONE for the Fine, NONE in restitution, dant shall make restitution, if any, within five timposed.
Signed on the day of	<u>Oy</u> , 20 14.
	Judge Besiding
Receipt is hereby acknowledged on the date shown above of one copy of this Judgment & Sentence.	
CNIKO CONZOLEZ Defendant	Community Supervision Officer

NAC

Defendant's right thumbprint

