Case No. CR-2964-18-F TRN 922 062 8678 A001

THE STATE OF TEXAS VS. JOSE ANGEL CAMPOS <u>SID</u>: TX-05303241

\$ \$ \$ IN 332ND DISTRICT COURT OF HIDALGO COUNTY TEXAS. CLERK M

County

## ORDER OF DEFERRED ADJUDICATION <u>& COMMUNITY SUPERVISION</u>

DATE OF ORDER:	February 25, 2019
JUDGE PRESIDING:	MARIO E. RAMIREZ, JR.
COURT REPORTER:	REGINA VASQUEZ
ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE:	CARISA R. CASAREZ & MONICA B.
	AUGER
ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT:	FLORENCIO LOPEZ
OFFENSE CODE:	23990194
<u>OFFENSE:</u>	THEFT \$2500 OR MORE BUT LESS
	THAN \$30,000, AS CHARGED IN THE
	INDICTMENT
DATE OF OFFENSE:	December 28, 2016
DEGREE OF OFFENSE:	STATE JAIL FELONY
STATUTE FOR OFFENSE:	31.03(E)(4(A)
PUNISHMENT RANGE:	180 DAYS – 2 YEARS IN A STATE
(Including enhancements if any):	JAIL/\$10,000 FINE MAX
CHARGING INSTRUMENT:	INDICTMENT or INFORMATION
PLEA TO OFFENSE:	GUILTY
TERMS OF PLEA AGREEMENT OR	
FINDINGS OF THE COURT, TO WIT,	
COMMUNITY SUPERVISION PERIOD:	FIVE (5) YEARS
<u>FINE:</u>	NONE
RESTITUTION:	\$130,000.00
TIME SPENT IN JAIL:	TWO (2) DAYS
DISMISS:	NONE
PLEA TO ENHANCEMENT	NONE
PARAGRAPH(S):	NONE
FINDING TO ENHANCEMENT:	NONE
FINDING ON DEADLY WEAPON:	NONE
COURT COSTS:	\$ <u> 263.00</u>

On FEBRUARY 25, 2019, the above numbered and entitled cause was regularly reached and called for trial, and the State appeared by CARISA R. CASAREZ & MONICA B. AUGER, and the Defendant and the Defendant's attorney, FLORENCIO LOPEZ, were also present. Thereupon both sides announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, Defendant's attorney, and the State's attorney agreed in open court and in writing to waive a jury in the trial of this cause and to submit it to the Court. The Court consented to the waiver of a jury. The Defendant further waived the reading of the indictment or information, and, upon being asked

by the Court as to how the Defendant pleaded, entered a plea of GUILTY to the offense of THEFT \$2500 OR MORE BUT LESS THAN \$30,000, AS CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT, STATE JAIL FELONY. Furthermore, as to the enhancement paragraphs, if any, the Defendant entered a plea of NONE.

Thereupon, the Court admonished the Defendant of the range of punishment attached to the offense, that any recommendation of the State is not binding on the Court, that the existence of a plea bargain limits the right of an appeal to only pre-trial matters raised and preserved, and that if the Defendant is not a citizen of the United States of America, a plea of guilty or no contest may result in deportation under federal law; it appeared to the Court that the Defendant was competent to stand trial and was not influenced in making said plea(s) by any consideration of fear or by any persuasion prompting a confession of guilt; and that the Defendant understood the admonitions of the Court and was aware of the consequences of the plea(s); and the Court received the free and voluntary plea(s), which are now entered of record in the minutes of the Court.

The Court then proceeded to hear evidence from the State and the Defendant and, having heard argument of counsel, found there was sufficient evidence to support the Defendant's plea and found the offense was committed on **DECEMBER 28, 2016** and made a finding of **NONE** on the enhancement paragraph(s), if any.

A pre-sentence investigation report **WAS NOT DONE** according to Article 42.12, Section 9, CCP.

However, the Court, after due consideration, is of the opinion and so finds that the best interests of society and the Defendant are served in this cause by deferring further proceedings without an adjudication of guilty.

It is, therefore, **ORDERED**, by the Court that further proceedings in this cause shall be and are hereby deferred. The Defendant is placed on community supervision for a period of **FIVE (5) YEARS** with a fine of **NONE**, subject to the conditions of supervision imposed by the Court in an Order that is hereby incorporated into this Order.

## Furthermore, the following special findings or orders apply:

The Court finds that all court-ordered payments, if any, are suspended during the Defendant's custodial supervision, if any, and such payments shall be reinstated thirty days from the date of discharge from such custodial supervision.

The Court finds that **THERE IS NOT** plea bargain agreement between the State and the Defendant.

The Court, upon the State's motion, **DISMISSED** the following count(s), case(s), or complaint(s): **NONE**.

The Court finds that the Defendant has spent TWO (2) DAYS in county jail.

The Defendant is hereby advised that, under the laws of the State of Texas, the Court shall determine the conditions of community supervision and may, at any time during the period of supervision, alter or modify the conditions of supervision. The Court also may extend the period of supervision and has the authority to revoke the community supervision at any time during the period of supervision for any violation of the conditions.

Signed on the 25th day of February Judge Presiding

Receipt is hereby acknowledged on the date shown above of one copy of the above

Order. Defendant

Community Supervision Officer

NC

Defendant's right thumbprint

