Case 7:20-mj-00821	Document 12	Filed on 04/06/20 in TXSD	Page
--------------------	-------------	---------------------------	------

United Bittes Districte Con Beintion Pending Trial Southern District Of Texas

FILED

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

1 of 3

ENTERED

April 06, 2020 David J. Bradley, Clerk

David J. Bradley, Clerk

APR 0 6 2020

Southern District of Texas

)

United States of America

v.

JOSE LUIS GARCIA

Defendant

Case No. 7:20-MJ-00821-01

# **ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**

# Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or

 $\boxtimes$  Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

# Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
$\Box$ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
$\Box$ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or '
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
$\Box$ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

## AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

<b>B.</b> Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
<ul> <li>(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);</li> <li>(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>☐ (2) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;</li> </ul>
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
<ul> <li>Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> <li>Prior criminal history</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision</li> <li>History of violence or use of weapons</li> </ul>

٠,

- History of alcohol or substance abuse
- Lack of stable employment
- Lack of stable residence
- Lack of financially responsible sureties
- Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

ì.

# AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States

Lack of legal status in the United States

Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration

Prior failure to appear in court as ordered

Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement

Use of alias(es) or false documents

Background information unknown or unverified

Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

# OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Notably, at the Detention Hearing, the government proffered that Defendant is the leader of a large drug trafficking organization in the midway area of Rio Grande City, Texas. In January 2019, the government seized about 320 kilograms of cocaine tied to this organization. Three individuals in this organization have already been indicted by a federal grand jury regarding the cocaine seizure; one being Defendant's brother. The government has text messages indicating that Defendant was upset that Danny Sepulveda lost his phone during the aforementioned seizure. The text messages indicate that the group had taken photos of two Border Patrol agents and that Defendant was putting together a list to take to Mexico to get permission to turn individuals into "soup." In other words, Defendant was looking to kill these individuals and dispose of their bodies in acid. The government stated that Defendant was trying to get the name of a particular Border Patrol agent and had the names of other Border Patrol agents, who Defendant believed was interfering with the drug trafficking organization. There were also texts referencing threats that Defendant made against other law enforcement officials, including the District Attorney in Starr County, who was pursuing charges against Defendant's son. During a prior search, radio frequency scanners were found, where the government believed that the scanners were used to disrupt law enforcement communications. Finally, there were text messages that indicated that Defendant was asking others if they would flee to Mexico with him.

The undersigned will also note the facts set out in the affidavit with the underlying criminal complaint regarding the nature and amount of bulk cash seized, the firearms that were discovered, and statements made by the parties during the search.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshaffor the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:

04/06/2020

oft Hacker United States Magistrate Judge